Application Note

Reversed-Phase Column Performance for Peptide and Peptide Mapping Separations

Hua Yang, Stephan M. Koza, Steve Shiner

Waters Corporation

Abstract

The performance of eight of Waters[™] reversed-phase columns for peptide separations containing 2 to 3 µm particles were compared using well documented peptide standards. Differences in selectivity and peak capacity are observed between different column chemistries were observed using a gradient of increasing organic solvent concentration that contained formic acid (FA) mobile phase additive. The selectivity is equivalent between use of MaxPeak[™] Premier High Performance Surfaces (HPS) columns and unmodified stainless-steel columns containing the same reversed-phase column particles. Extracted Ion Chromatograms (XIC) show different selectivity among different MaxPeak Premier Columns, where the spectra are cleaner for the deamidated form when it is better separated from the unmodified form.

Benefits

Waters reversed-phase columns are able to provide effective performance in peptide separation.

Introduction

Reversed-phase chromatography is one of the most used tools to separate peptides of similar composition. Based on a recent United States Pharmacopeia (USP) survey, more than 500 different C₁₈ (*i.e.*, USP "L1" classification) exists. In 2017, the performance of ten of Waters reversed-phase columns were compared side by side and features of each column chemistry were summarized regarding peptide mapping separations.¹

MaxPeak Premier reversed-phase columns are equipped with MaxPeak High Performance Surfaces (HPS) hardware that is designed to minimize undesired analyte/column hardware surface interactions.² In this application note, the performance of eight Waters reversed-phase columns (both MaxPeak Premier and unmodified stainless-steel columns) is compared, and differences in selectivity, peak capacity, and peak shape are noted.

Experimental

Sample Description

MassPREP[™] Peptide Mixture (p/n: 186002338 <https://www.waters.com/nextgen/global/shop/standards-reagents/186002338-massprep-peptide-mixture-5-pk.html>) and mAb Tryptic Digestion Standard (p/n: 186009126 <https://www.waters.com/nextgen/global/shop/standards--reagents/186009126-mab-trypticdigestion-standard.html>) were reconstituted in 100 µL and 80 µL of 0.1% formic acid, respectively.

LC Conditions

LC system:	ACQUITY™ UPLC™ I-Class PLUS
Detection:	TUV @ 214 nm, ACQUITY BioAccord
	MS detection
Column(s):	XSelect™ Premier Peptide CSH™ C ₁₈
	130 Å, 2.5 μm, 2.1 x 150 mm column
	(p/n: 186009906)
	XSelect Peptide CSH C_{18}, 2.5 $\mu m,$ 2.1 x
	150 mm (p/n: 186006943)

Gradient Table for MassPREP Peptide Mixture

Time (min)	Flow (mL/min)	%A	%В	Curve
0.0	0.2	99.5	0.5	Initial
2.0	0.2	99.5	0.5	6
22.0	0.2	45	55	6
25.0	0.2	5	95	6
26.0	0.2	5	95	6
28.0	0.2	99.5	0.5	6
40.0	0.2	99.5	0.5	6

Gradient Table for mAb Tryptic Digestion Standard

Time (min)	Flow (mL/min)	%A	%В	Curve
0.0	0.2	99.5	0.5	Initial
2.0	0.2	99.5	0.5	6
62.0	0.2	50	50	6
65.0	0.2	5	95	6
66.0	0.2	5	95	6
68.0	0.2	99.5	0.5	6
80.0	0.2	99.5	0.5	6

ACQUITY RDa Detector Settings

Mode:Full scan with fragmentationMass range:50-2000 m/zPolarity:Positive

Sample rate:	5 Hz
Cone voltage:	30 V
Fragmentation cone voltage	60-120 V
Capillary voltage:	1.20 kV
Desolvation temperature:	350 °C
Data Management	

LC/MS software:

waters_connect™

Results and Discussion

Separations of the well characterized MassPREP Peptide Standard Mixture (Table 1) on eight of Waters reversedphase columns with different column chemistries showed different selectivity for the peptides evaluated as previously reported.¹

Peak #	Component name	Retention time	Molecular weight **(g/mol)	рКа	Peptide sequence
1	Allantoin (VO marker)	0.76	158.0440	-	-
2	RASG-1	4.78	1000.4938	9.34	RGDSPASSKP
3	Angiotensin frag.1–7	9.59	898.4661	7.35	DRVYIHP
4	Bradykinin	12.04	1059.5613	12.00	RPPGFSPFR
5	Angiotensin II.	13.06	1045.5345	7.35	DRVYIHPF
6	Angiotensin I.	14.92	1295.6775	7.51	DRVYIHPFHL
7	Renin substrate	16.82	1757.9253	7.61	DRVYIHPFHLLVYS
8	Enolase T35	19.18	1871.9604	7.34	WLTGPQLADLYHSLMK
9	Enolase T37	21.55	2827.2806	3.97	YPIVSIEDPFAEDDWEAWSHFFK
10	Melittin	24.93	2845.7381	12.06	GIGAVLKVLTTGLPALISWIKRKRQQ

Table 1. A list of peptides (MassPREP Peptide Mixture, p/n: 186002338) that are separated on various reversed-phase columns.

The peptide separation selectivity between the same column particles (*i.e.* chemistry) contained in MaxPeak Premier Column compared to packed in unmodified stainless-steel columns is highly similar (Figure 1a). Consistent with previous findings, under gradients of increasing organic solvent concentration containing 0.1% FA mobile phase additive, the CSH C_{18} 130 Å columns provided the highest peak capacity, followed by CORTECS C18+ columns (Figure 1b), presumably due to the small amount of positive charge embedded onto the C_{18} particles.



Figure 1. a. UV traces of the MassPREP Peptide Standard Mixture separated on eight Waters reversed-phase columns using a gradient of increasing organic solvent concentration containing 0.1% FA mobile phase additive.



Figure 1. b. Average peak capacity at 50% peak height (n=3) of each peptide standard (#3-#10) on all the columns tested.

In addition, the retentivity is generally lower for these columns that contain a small degree of positive charges. Similarly, peptide selectivity differences are observed between the eight different Waters column chemistries when the NISTmAb[™] tryptic digest is separated (Figure 2).



Figure 2. UV traces of Waters NISTmAb tryptic digest separated on eight Waters reversed phase columns using a gradient of increasing organic solvent concentration containing 0.1% FA mobile phase additive. Peptide selectivity difference are seen when carefully comparing the peptide separations on each of the eight columns.

To elaborate the selectivity difference, peaks were identified on the XSelect Premier Peptide CSH C_{18} Column and the XBridge Premier Peptide BEH C_{18} 130 Å Column using MS data collected on a BioAccord LC-MS System and waters_connect (Figure 3a). The same color arrow represents the same peptide. Note that less overall peptide retentivity is seen on the Premier XSelect CSH C_{18} 130 Å column compared to data collected on the XBridge BEH C_{18} 130 Å Column. In addition, it was observed that the spacing among some of color arrowed identified peptides is different on the two columns (*e.g.* blue and purple pair and red and green pair), while the overall retention time of the 15 peptides correlate well between these two columns (Figure 3b), indicating somewhat similar column selectivity.



Figure 3. a. UV traces of NISTmAb tryptic digest separated on the XSelect Premier Peptide CSH C_{18} Column and on the XBridge Premier Peptide BEH C_{18} 130 Å Column using a gradient of increasing organic solvent concentration containing 0.1% FA mobile phase additive. Peaks were identified based on MS data collected on a BioAccord LC-MS System and waters_connect. The same color arrow represents the same peptide. b. Retention time correlation is shown on the right side.

Peptide mapping has been used as Multi-Attribute Method (MAM) for monitoring Critical Quality Attributes (CQAs) in recent years.^{3,4} As an example in Figure 4 and Figure 5, XIC of two NISTmAb tryptic peptides (Heavy Chain T26 and Heavy Chain T37) and their deamidated forms are shown for the five Premier columns. Spectra of the unmodified peak and its deamidated peaks are shown on the right side. For Heavy Chain T26, the unmodified and the deamidated peak 1 are better separated on the Premier Peptide CSH C_{18} column and the CORTECS Premier C18+ Column (Figure 4). For Heavy Chain T37, the separation between the unmodified peak and the deamidated peak 1 is better on the Premier Peptide CSH C_{18} 130 Å Column and the Premier Peptide BEH C_{18} 130 Å column (Figure 5). Additionally, the deamidated forms are known to result in a mass shift of ~+1Da. As indicated by the green box on the right side of the figure, the spectra are cleaner for the deamidated form when it is better separated form.



Figure 4. XIC of NISTmAb tryptic peptide, HC T26 (VVSVLTVLHQDWLNGK), and its deamidated forms separated on five MaxPeak Premier reversed-phase columns. The gray, red and blue boxes on the left side of the figure show where the spectra are combined for the unmodified peak, deamidated peak 1 and deamidated peak 2, respectively, to obtain the spectra on the right side of the figure. The black, red and blue traces on the right side represent the combined spectra of the unmodified peak, deamidated peak 1 and deamidated peak 2, respectively. The green box shows how clean the spectra are for the deamidated peaks. U=Unmodified form, D1=Deamidated form 1, D2=Deamidated form 2



Figure 5. XIC of NIST mAb tryptic peptide, HC T37 (GFYPSDIAVEWESNGQPENNYK), and its deamidated forms separated on five MaxPeak Premier reversed-phase columns. The gray, red and blue boxes on the left side of the figure show where the spectra are combined for the unmodified peak, deamidated peak 1 and deamidated peak 2, respectively, to obtain the spectra on the right side of the figure. The black, red, and blue traces on the right side represent the combined spectra of the unmodified peak, deamidated peak 1 and deamidated peak 2, respectively. The green box shows how clean the spectra are for the deamidated peaks. U=Unmodified form, D1=Deamidated form 1, D2=Deamidated form 2

Conclusion

In comparing performance of eight Waters reversed-phase columns for peptide separations, differences in selectivity and peak capacity were observed for the different column chemistries. The use of MaxPeak Premier HPS Column hardware did not significantly alter peptide separation selectivity however different results may have occurred if the peptides were heavily phosphorylated. XIC show that when the NISTmAb tryptic peptides and their deamidated form are better separated, the spectra are cleaner for the deamidated form.

Overall, all of the columns tested provided effective separations for peptide analyses. Features of the different column chemistry¹ result in different selectivity, which can be useful in developing a method to separate difficult peptide samples.¹

References

- Koza S.M., Chambers E.E. Selecting a Reversed Phase Column for the Peptide Mapping Analysis of a Biotherapeutic Protein. Waters Application Note. 720005924EN. 2017.
- Birdsall R.E., Kellett J., Ippoliti S., Ranbaduge N., Lauber M.A., Yu Y.Q., Chen W. Reducing Metal-Ion Mediated Adsorption of Acidic Peptides in RPLC-Based Assays Using Hybrid Silica Chromatographic Surfaces. *Journal* of Chromatography B 1179 (2021) 122700.
- 3. Mouchahoir T., and Schiel J.E. Development of an LC-MS/MS peptide mapping protocol for the NISTmAb. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* (2018) 410:2111–2126.
- Guan X., Eris T., Zhang L., Ren D., Ricci M.S., Thiel T., Goudar C.T. A High-Resolution Multi-Attribute Method for Product Characterization, Process Characterization, and Quality Control of Therapeutic Proteins. *Analytical Biochemistry* 643 (2022) 114575.

Featured Products

ACQUITY UPLC I-Class PLUS System https://www.waters.com/134613317

Biopharmaceutical Platform Solution with UNIFI <https://www.waters.com/10195515> BioAccord LC-MS System for Biopharmaceuticals <https://www.waters.com/waters/nav.htm?cid=135005818> UNIFI Scientific Information System <https://www.waters.com/134801648> waters_connect <https://www.waters.com/nextgen/global/products/informatics-andsoftware/waters_connect.html>

720008035, September 2023

 \wedge

© 2023 Waters Corporation. All Rights Reserved.

Termos de Uso Privacidade Marcas comerciais Carreiras Cookies Preferências de cookies